



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-119/E-181331/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202113938

S.E.A. College of Education, K.R. Puram, 23/3, 21/8, Virgonagar, Devasandra Main Road, K.R. Puram, Bangalore, Karnataka – 560049	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
<u>(APPELLANT)</u>		<u>(RESPONDENT)</u>

Representative of Appellant	Sh. D.T. Srinivasa, Secretary Dr. Viyyanna Rao, Director
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND'S OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of S.E.A. College of Education, Virgonagar, Devasandra Main Road, K.R. Puram, Bangalore, Karnataka dated 16/02/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. APSO1828/B.Ed/KA/2012-13/47457 dated 27.11.2012 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "SRC in its 208th meeting held on 13th – 14th July, 2011 considered the VT report and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show Cause Notice to all the courses under Section 17 of NCTE Act for the following:- As per the VT report the built up area for each of the courses is only 13902

K-11/2021

sq. ft. which is grossly inadequate as per NCTE norms. The management vis also running B.Sc. (Nursing) & M.Sc. (Nursing) in the same campus/building, which is against the NCTE norms. Course wise details of FDRs are not being given by VT members. And Whereas, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 05.09.2011. And Whereas, the institution had not submitted any reply to Show Cause even after the expiry of stipulated time of 21 days from the date of issue of notice dated 05.01.2011 and the above listed deficiencies still exists. And Whereas, based on the above points, the SRC in its 234th meeting held on 17th – 18th October, 2012 decided to withdraw, the recognition of the D.Ed. course run by the SEA Educational Institute, Vironagar, Basavanapura, Vironagar Post, Bangalore District – 560049, Karnataka from the academic year 2012-13, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in APS01828 B.Ed., course, if any to complete their course.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Sh. D.T. Srinivasa, Secretary and Dr. Viyyanna Rao, Director, S.E.A. College of Education, Virgonagar, Devasandra Main Road, K.R. Puram, Bangalore, Karnataka presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “All document submitted please consider kindly Approved.”

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and decided as under:-

The submission of the appeal has been delayed by eight years and three months beyond the prescribed period of sixty days.

2. The Committee noted that according to the provisions of Section 18 (1) of the NCTE Act, 1993, any person aggrieved by an order made under Section 14 or Section 15 or Section 17 of the Act may prefer an appeal to the Council within such period as may be prescribed. According to the provisions of Rule 10 of the NCTE Rules, 1997, any person aggrieved by an order made under the above mentioned Sections of the Act may prefer an appeal to the Council within sixty days of issue of such orders. According

[Handwritten signature]

to the provisions of Section 18 (2) of the NCTE Act, no appeal shall be admitted if it is preferred after the expiry of the period prescribed therefor; provided such an appeal may be admitted after the expiry of the period prescribed therefor, if the appellant satisfied the Council that he had sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal within the prescribed period.

3. Appellant in its appeal memoranda has stated that delay in preferring appeal has been due to passing away of correspondent. Appeal Committee noted that reason for the delay of more than eight year cannot be attributed to the incident cited by appellant and the appellant institution has not been conducting the teacher education programmes for a long period and during the intervening period the NCTE Regulations have also undergone a change. In these circumstances, the Committee decided not to admit the appeal on grounds of delay of eight years and three months.

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee noted that the appellant, in the appeal, has not given any satisfactory reason for not preferring the appeal within the prescribed period. In these circumstances, the Committee decided not to admit the appeal on grounds of delay of eight years and three months.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Secretary, S.E.A. College of Education, K.R. Puram, 23/3, 21/8, Virgonagar, Devasandra Main Road, K.R. Puram, Bangalore, Karnataka – 5600492.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-122/E-181584/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202113988

Sarvodaya College of Education, Ambatti, 25/12, Mysore Bangalore Road, Virajpet, Kodagu, Karnataka – 571218	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
<u>(APPELLANT)</u>		<u>(RESPONDENT)</u>

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Swamy K.A., Assistant Professor Sh. Tippu Raj H. K., Administrator Officer
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Sarvodaya College of Education, Ambatti, Mysore Bangalore Road, Virajpet, Kodagu, Karnataka dated 21/03/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO0461/KA/B.Ed./2021/124659-4666 dated 08.03.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Building Plan submitted by the institute is neither approved nor legible. The institute submitted a letter dated 12.11.2020 issued by the Registrar, Mangalore University but for only 4 faculty which is not sufficient for running B.Ed. Course of 2 units. 4 Faculty is approved on 12.11.2020 but shown to be

K. T. Bp

appointed in 2006. The institute did not submit Land Use Certificate issued by the Competent Authority. Form 'A' regarding FDRs issued by the bank has not been submitted. The documents of land submitted is different from the documents submitted at the time of Recognition."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Sh. Swamy K.A., Assistant Professor and Sh. Tippu Raj H. K., Administrator Officer, Sarvodaya College of Education, Ambatti, Mysore Bangalore Road, Virajpet, Kodagu, Karnataka presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Visible and legible Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority is enclosed. The Institution has only One Unit Reference your letter No. F.SRO/NCTE/AOSO0461/B.Ed/KA/2015/76976 Date: 27/10/2015. Presently the institution is having 5 Teaching Staff Govt. Sanctioned Post 1+8 (Teaching) Govt. Appointed Post 1+5 Govt. Approved Vacant Post – 3 Till date Govt. has not given the permission to fill vacant post, the institution has appointed 3 Teaching posts along with Physical Instructor, Fine Arts & Performing Arts. NCTE, Revised Recognition order copy enclosed. Mangalore University Approved Copy Enclosed. Teaching Faculties date of appointment is as follows. Sl. No. Name of the Faculty Date of Appointment Management Date of Appointment Govt. 1 Dr. Vani M 15/07/1994 19/05/2009 2 Sri. Girish HR 06/06/2006 19/05/2009 3 Smt. Mini K J 15/12/2006 19/05/2009 4 Smt. Padmalatha D 29/12/2008 19/05/2009 5 Smt. Sujatha R 19/11/2009 19/11/2009 1. Management Appointment Order 2. Mangalore University Approved Order 3. Govt. Appointment Order. Copies of the above enclosed. Submitting Land Use Certificate issued by Competent Authority. Submitting Form 'A' issued by the Bank. The Institution was established in the year 1973 and run in a rented building. From 1976 to till date the institution is having its own land of 1 Acre, Sy. No. 25/12 in the name of Sarvodaya Educational Trust, Virajpet. Copy of the Sale Deed, RTC & EC enclosed."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and noted that appellant institution with its appeal memoranda

K. J. K.

has submitted copies of two letters dated 12/11/2020 and 20/03/2021 issued by Mangalore University conveying approval to the appointment of four (1 Principal + 3 faculty) and six faculty respectively. Neither the affiliating University nor the appellant clarified whether all the faculty i.e. 1 Principal and nine faculty remain appointed or the faculty recommended for appointment on 20/03/2021 is in replacement of earlier faculty.

2. Appellant institution has further submitted two sets of building plan which indicate different proposed built up area. As per building plan submitted the proposed built up area is (i) ground floor is 321 sq. meters, (ii) 167 Sq. Meters on 1st floor and (iii) 2nd floor is 699 Sq. Meters. The built up area on 2nd floor is far in excess than the area of ground floor and 1st floor which is difficult to be understood. Few photographs submitted by appellant with the appeal memoranda indicate temporary roofing with steel fabricated structure and 2nd floor. Such type of structure is not permissible under Clause 8 (7) of NCTE Regulation. Appellant institution by a document dated 18/11/2020 submitted with its reply dated 25/11/2020 to the S.C.N. has declared that built up area on land at Survey No. 25/12 is 870.66 sq. meters. This built-up area is not adequate for conducting B.Ed. programme even for one unit (50) seats. Appellant has not submitted Building Completion Certificate issued by Competent Civic Authority to show evidence in support of having adequate built-up area.

3. Appeal Committee noted that inadequacy of required built up area was not a point mentioned in the Show Cause Notice and it has been noticed by Appeal Committee after analysing the legible copies of building plan and few photographs showing temporary structure.

4. The availability of required built-up area is required to be verified by asking the appellant to submit Building Completion Certificate approved by Competent Civic Authority in the prescribed Performa. Appellant institution may also be required to submit evidence of remittance of salary into the bank accounts of faculty as required under Clause 10 (2) of NCTE Regulation, 2014. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

K. J. Raju

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online hearing. Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sarvodaya College of Education, Ambatti, Mysore Bangalore Road, Virajpet, Kodagu, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The President, Sarvodaya College of Education, Ambatti, 25/12, Mysore Bangalore Road, Virajpet, Kodagu, Karnataka – 571218.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
File No. 89-124/E-182107/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLNRC202113986

Raafiah College of Education, Phatanpur Tappa Haveli, 288, Sahaswan, PWD Road, Badaun, Uttar Pradesh – 243638 <u>(APPELLANT)</u>	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075. <u>(RESPONDENT)</u>
---	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Md. Habibur Rahaman, Chairman
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of Raafiah College of Education, Phatanpur Tappa Haveli, Sahaswan, PWD Road, Badaun, Uttar Pradesh dated 18/03/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-2016-15058/ID:7827311 Meeting/2020/212936 dated 05.02.2021 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "In the light of the order dt. 31.10.2018 in LPA No. 619/2018 of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court and Letter No. 67/19/2018-US(Legal)Hq. dated 18.12.2018 and the ban imposed by the Sate Govt. vide letter No. 1188/68-4-2018 dt. 24.08.2018 to start D.El.Ed. course

in the State of Uttar Pradesh, NRC, NCTE, cannot process your application further. The institution has not submitted satisfactory reply to the SCN dated 15.10.2019. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and the recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any, be returned to the institution."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Sh. Md. Habibur Rahaman, Chairman, Raafiah College of Education, Phatanpur Tappa Haveli, Sahaswan, PWD Road, Badaun, Uttar Pradesh presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "now our document is complete, and we are attaching the PDF of all the required document."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and decided as under:-

Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 05/02/2021 is on the basis of negative recommendation of the State Government of Uttar Pradesh conveyed by letter no. 1188/अरसद – 4- 2018 dated 24/08/2018. The State Government based on their demand and supply analysis had categorically stated that no additional B.T.C./D.El.Ed. programme need be sanctioned for next five years in Uttar Pradesh. Appeal Committee noted that State Government and the affiliating Authority has an important stake so far as affiliation of programme is concerned. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 18/03/2021.

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online presentation of the Appeal, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the impugned refusal order dated 18/03/2021.

24/8/21

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Chairman, Raafiah College of Education, Phatanpur Tappa Haveli, 288, Sahaswan, PWD Road, Badaun, Uttar Pradesh – 243638.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-128/E-182766/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202113998

Balaji College of Education, Anantapur, Neeruganti Street, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh – 515001 <u>(APPELLANT)</u>	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075 <u>(RESPONDENT)</u>
---	------------------	---

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Palle Venkata Krishna Kishore Reddy, Chairman
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Balaji College of Education, Neeruganti Street, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh dated 29/03/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS00274/B.Ed./{A.P.}/2021/124024 dated 04.03.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The Committee considered the reply submitted by the institution on 08.01.2019 in respect to the Final Show Cause Notice and found the following deficiencies: - The institution has not submitted a certified copy of land documents issued by concerned Tehsildar /Revenue Officer. (If the same are in Regional Language the institution is also required to submit notarized copy of English version of land

K. V. K.

documents). The institution has not submitted Notarized copy of Building Completion Certificate. (i) Date of inspection not mentioned in Building Completion Certificate. The Principal does not have NET/Ph.D. as per NCTE Recognition. (ii) The institution has not appointed the faculty of Performing Arts."

II. Submissions made by Appellant:-

Sh. Pale Venkata Krishna Kishore Reddy, Chairman, Balaji College of Education, Neeruganti Street, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Deficiency mentioned is addressed and the Institution is now submitting the certified copy of land documents issued by Joint Sub-Registrar, District Registering Office, Anantapur, A.P. The Institution is now submitting the notarized copy of English version of land documents. The Institution is now submitting the Notarized copy of Building Completion Certificate. Date 04-01-2021 was mentioned in the Building Completion Certificate. The Candidate with Ph.D. in Education is appointed as a Principal as per NCTE-2014 Regulations. Approval of faculty has been taken from the Registrar, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapuram (Affiliating University) and is being submitted for your perusal. The Candidate who has qualification of M.A. Performing Arts (Dance) is appointed as a Assistant Professor in Performing Arts as per NCTE-2014 Regulations. Approval of faculty has been taken from the Registrar, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapuram (Affiliating University) and is being submitted for your perusal.

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme since 2003 with an intake of 100 seats. Appeal Committee noted that appellant has submitted copies of documents found wanted in the case and the deficiencies are found removed. Appellant institution is required to submit to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders.

- (i) Originally certified copy of land documents with notarised English version.
- (ii) Notarised copy of Building Completion Certificate indicating the date of inspection.

Handwritten signature/initials

(iii) Complete list of faculty approved by affiliating body.

2. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, document on record and oral arguments advanced during online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Balaji College of Education, Neeruganti Street, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Chairman, Balaji College of Education, Anantapur, Neeruganti Street, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh – 515001.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Amaravati.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
File No. 89-129/E-182725/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202114004

H.H. Marthoma Mathews Training College, II Training College, Adoor, 38/2, Pathanamthitta, Kerala – 691523 (APPELLANT)	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075. (RESPONDENT)
---	------------------	---

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Zacharias Mar Aprem Metropolitan, Manager, Dr. Kumari S. Girija, Principal Sh. Nimmi Varghese, Vice Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of H.H. Marthoma Mathews Training College, II Training College, Adoor, Pathanamthitta, Kerala dated 25/03/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO0704/B.Ed./{KL}/2020/121617 dated 22.12.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Institution was granted recognition vide order dt 25.02.2005 for conducting B.Ed. course with an annual intake of 100 students and on

[Signature]

promulgation of NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution did not submit Affidavit for adherence of provisions of NCTE Regulations, 2014. Failure in non-submission of Affidavit resulted in Non-issuance of Revised Provisional Recognition Order for conducting B.Ed. course of 2 years duration. Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016. Further, a Final show cause notice dt 05.08.2020 was issued to the institution. The institution submitted the Land Use Certificate dt 27.08.2020 signed by the Tahsildar, A door, which shows functioning of the School and B.Ed. college in the same building. And, the total built up area as per Building Plan and BCC submitted by the institution is only 2803.60 sq. mtr. The total area specified for the B.Ed. course is only 1526.60 sq. mtr. which is not sufficient for both institutions. The institution submitted the proforma of the faculty for 8 faculty but the faculty is not approved by the Affiliating body."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Dr. Zacharias Mar Aprem Metropolitan, Manager, Dr. Kumari S. Girija, Principal and Sh. Nimmi Varghese, Vice Principal, H.H. Marthoma Mathews Training College, II Training College, Adoor, Pathanamthitta, Kerala presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The institution have all the infrastructural and instructional facilities as per 2014 and 2017 regulations of NCTE. The affidavit for revised provisional recognition order for conducting B.Ed. course of 2 year duration was already submitted with the reply given to the final show cause notice issued by SRC, NCTE. Land Use Certificate dated 27.08.2020 signed by Tahsildar, A door clearly states that even though several institution of same management are situated in same plot of land all of them are functioning in separate buildings (Total building area of B.Ed. college alone is 1526.60 Sq. Mt) [Ref: 2nd Paragraph of LUC]. Proforma of the faculty was kept on hold by the affiliating body as the case regarding the affiliation of the college W.P.C 22050 of 2020(E) was processing in the High Court of Kerala, Ernakulam. Presently the institution is ready to produce the faculty list approved by affiliating body as per 2017 regulation."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 20/05/2016 was issued to appellant institution for submitting an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014. Appeal Committee noted that B.Ed. programme which used to be a one year programme before the 2014 regulations was converted into a two year programme and all institutions where one year programme was sanctioned were asked to submit affidavit affirming change over to a two year programme and also following other Norms And Standards mentioned in the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant institution, it is observed from the regulatory file, had not submitted the affidavit. The Norms and Standards for the two year programme required enhanced built up area and increased number of faculty. Appeal Committee noted that as the appellant did not switch over to the two-year B.Ed. programme as per NCTE Regulation, 2014 the affiliating University also did not renew the affiliation.

2. Appeal Committee noted that Southern Regional Committee (SRC) issued a final S.C.N. dated 05/08/2020 seeking written representation within 21 days for the appellant's non-compliance to NCTE Regulation, 2014.

3. Appellant during the course of online hearing admitted that it had failed to submit affidavit affirming compliance of NCTE Regulation, 2014 when it was first asked to do so. Appellant further stated that appellant institution is not conducting B.Ed. programme with 2 year duration for the last four years.

4. Appeal Committee noted that SRC in this case had not issued Revised Recognition order for 2 year B.Ed. programme as was done in respect of other institutions. Eventually this had resulted in discontinuation of the B.Ed. programme after the year 2015. Para 7 of the impugned order of withdrawal dated 22/12/2020 is therefore inconsistent as unless an institution is permitted by issue of a recognition order for two year B.Ed. programme, it cannot be withdrawn. In fact the B.Ed. programme with one years duration which was being conducted by appellant institution prior to 2015

kyk

has ceased to be operational and the belated issued of withdrawal should be with reference to the one year programme from academic session which started after the promulgation of NCTE Regulation, 2014. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC for modifying the withdrawal order suitably.

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, document on record and oral arguments advanced during online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for modifying the withdrawal order suitably.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of H.H. Marthoma Mathews Training College, II Training College, Adoor, Pathanamthitta, Kerala to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Manager, H.H. Marthoma Mathews Training College, II Training College, Adoor, 38/2, Pathanamthitta, Kerala – 691523.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-131/E-182808/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202113970

AIM College of Education for Women (B.Ed.), Bidar, 1-1-171, Rao Taleem, Bidar, Karnataka – 585401 <u>(APPELLANT)</u>	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075. <u>(RESPONDENT)</u>
--	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	Mohd Nisar Ahmed, President
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of AIM College of Education for Women (B.Ed.), Bidar, Rao Taleem, Bidar, Karnataka dated 09/03/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRC/NCTE/APSO8852/B.Ed./2021/122917 dated 12.01.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not responded to the Final Show Cause Notice issued on 31.08.2020."

21/8/21

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Mohd. Nisar Ahmed, President, AIM College of Education for Women (B.Ed.), Bidar, Rao Taleem, Bidar, Karnataka presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "We are running only 1 single unit since 2015-16 onwards and we have received letter from SRC on dated 13/02/2017 regarding the acceptance of reduction from B.Ed. 2 unit to 1 single unit with no further VT inspection and the request was accepted and mentioned as no need of fresh inspection and later we have received a final Show Cause Notice on dated 31/08/2020 for submission of required documents as for 2 basic units and later we have received withdrawal order on dated 12/01/2021. We have replied for the letter on dated 21/09/2020 to SRC, Bangalore and SRC, New Delhi that we are running only one single unit of 50 students reg."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. Appeal Committee noted that impugned order of withdrawal dated 12/01/2021 is on the ground that appellant institution has failed to respond to the final Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 31/08/2020. Appellant with its appeal memoranda submitted copy of its reply dated 21/09/2020 which was sent by speed post on 29/09/2020. The reply dated 21/09/2020 sent by appellant institution is found placed in the regulatory file. Appeal Committee, having noticed that appellant institution had submitted reply to the S.C.N. and the reason given by SRC for withdrawing recognition being factually incorrect, decided to remand back the case for revisiting the matter.


IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online presentation of the appeal, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case for revisiting the matter.



NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of AIM College of Education for Women (B.Ed.), Bidar, Rao Taleem, Bidar, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Principal, AIM College of Education for Women (B.Ed.), Bidar, 1-1-171, Rao Taleem, Bidar, Karnataka - 585401.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-132/E-182870/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
File No. APPLSRC202113999

Andavar College of Education, Poravachery, 125/2A, Sikkal, Poravachery Main Road, Nagapattinam, Tamil Nadu – 611108 <u>(APPELLANT)</u>	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075. <u>(RESPONDENT)</u>
--	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	Dr. K. Sasikumar, Director
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Andavar College of Education, Poravachery, Sikkal, Poravachery Main Road, Nagapattinam, Tamil Nadu dated 02/04/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS09609/B.Ed./{TN}/2021/124843 dated 10.03.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted certified copy of Land Documents. The institution has submitted notarized copy of Building Plan in which Multipurpose Hall not mentioned and approving authority seal in regional language. The institution has submitted a letter of faculty list Signed by the Registrar,

27 Page

Tamilnadu Teachers Education University. Total faculty approved: 1 Principal + 15 Lecturers vide dated 04.11.2015. The institution has not submitted latest copy of faculty list duly approved by afflicting body. The institution has not submitted "Form A" issued by the respective Bank Manager towards creation of FDR of Rs.7 lakh and 5 lakh, totalling Rs.12 lakh towards Endowment Fund & Reserve Fund into joint account for a duration of 5 years along with a copy of the FDRs."

II. Submissions made by Appellant:-

Dr. K. Sasikumar, Director of Andavar College of Education, Poravachery, Sikkal, Poravachery Main Road, Nagapattinam, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Now we are having certified copy of land document. Certified copy of land document enclosed. Now we are having approved building plan which showing multipurpose hall clearly and approved authority seal in regional language which is translated in English by notary public more over our management gives explanation about the seal in regional language by our institution letter head. Approved building plan and explanation letter enclosed. Now we are having latest copy of faculty list 1+15 duly approved by the Registrar, TNTEU, Chennai, Tamilnadu, dated 12.03.2021 latest approved staff list enclosed. Now we are having Rs.7 lakh for endowment fund and Rs.5 lakh for reverse fund totally Rs.12 lakh deposited in Tamilnadu Mercantile Bank at Poravachery Branch, Nagapattinam, Tamilnadu dated 08.03.2021 for the period of 5 years in the joint account of Regional Director, SRC, NCTE and the Chairman Andavar College of Education. We enclosed "FORM a" and attested copy of FDRs."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme since the academic session 2009-10 and revised recognition order was also issued permitting the institution to conduct the programme with an intake of 100 seats.

2. Appeal Committee noted that appellant with its appeal memoranda had submitted documents found to be wanted in the case. Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order:-

- (i) Original certified copy of land document with notarised English translation.
- (ii) Latest list of faculty approval by affiliating University.
- (iii) FDRs with Form 'A'.

3. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter after appellant submits required documents as mentioned in para 2.

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online presentation of the appeal, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Andavar College of Education, Poravachery, Sikkal, Poravachery Main Road, Nagapattinam, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Chairman, Andavar College of Education, Poravachery, 125/2A, Sikkal, Poravachery Main Road, Nagapattinam, Tamil Nadu – 611108.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-133/E-183056/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202113996

St. Mary's College of Education, Buchireddypalem, 18/1B, Bombay Road, Buchireddypalem, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh – 524305	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
<u>(APPELLANT)</u>		<u>(RESPONDENT)</u>

Representative of Appellant	Dr. G. Prabhakar, Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND'S OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of St. Mary's College of Education, Buchireddypalem, Bombay Road, Buchireddypalem, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh dated 24/03/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO4891/M.Ed./(A.P.)/2021/124163 dated 08.03.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "B.Ed. programme is already withdrawn vide no. F.No.SRO/NCTE/APS00026/B.Ed./AP/2016/89349 dated 25.10.2016 and, the institution can not run the M.Ed. course. Minutes of 394th Meeting of the SRC held on 27th – 28th

[Handwritten signature]

January 2021 Page 9 of 86. The institution has submitted photocopy building plan but multipurpose hall size mentioned 92.44 sq. mts insufficient & required to 2000 sq. ft. & 186 sq. mts. The Total Built up area mentioned in the Building Plan and BCC do not match with each – others. The institution has submitted a proforma for faculty approval from the affiliating body, for M.Ed. course, but the signature and stamp of Registrar is not legible. It is observed that the date of approval on faculty proforma for B.Ed. and M.Ed. courses are not available. Faculty list (B.Ed. course) which is not approved by the Affiliating body. Some deficiencies are: - i. Letter regarding approval of faculty issued by the affiliating body has not been submitted by the institution. ii. The institution has not appointed performing arts faculty. The institution has submitted photocopy of Form 'A' issued by Branch manager along with photocopy of FDRs of Rs 5 lakhs, Rs lakhs & 4 lakhs. The institution submitted Form A which is manually over – written and tempered. The institution has not submitted Endowment and Reserve Fund (Total Rs 12 Lakh) as per norms of NCTE Regulations, 2014."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Dr. G. Prabhakar, Representative, St. Mary's College of Education, Buchireddypalem, Bombay Road, Buchireddypalem, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "SRC vide its order dated 08.03.2021 has withdrawn our recognition observing deficiencies which were already clarified / ratified by our institution. A copy of Withdrawal order dated 08.03.2021 of SRC is enclosed. SRC, NCTE vide its order dated 22.06.2007 granted recognition to the appellant institution for running the M.Ed. course in the appellant institution. Thereafter, the SRC NCTE vide its order dated 25.08.2010 increased the intake of appellant institution with 10 seats of M.Ed. Further, a revised recognition order dated 26.05.2015 with intake of 50 students, was issued to the appellant institution. A true copy of revised recognition order dated 26.05.2015 is enclosed. SRC NCTE issued a show cause notice dated 14.02.2019 to the appellant institution for submission of approved staff list and other documents. A true copy of show cause notice dated 14.02.2019 issued by SRC is enclosed. Appellant institution submitted its reply dated 11.03.2019 to the SRC in response to the aforesaid

[Handwritten signature]

show cause notice. A true copy of letter dated 11.03.2019 of the appellant institution is enclosed. Thereafter, SRC issued final show cause notice dated 06.12.2019 to appellant institution for submitting the documents as per revised recognition order. A true copy of final show cause notice dated 06.12.2019 issued by SRC is enclosed. Appellant institution vide its letter dated 09.07.2020 submitted the relevant documents to SRC. A true copy of letter dated 09.07.2020 of the appellant institution is enclosed. Surprisingly, the SRC issued the withdrawal order dated 08.03.2021 without looking the documents of the appellant institution carefully. It is submitted that the appellant institution is once again submitting herewith the following documents as were submitted by the appellant to SRC, to satisfy the appeal committee of NCTE: e) FDRs f) Land Documents g) BCC h) Building Plan. It is submitted that the appellant is also recognised for the B.Ed. Course. A True Copy of the B.Ed. Recognition Order dated 25.10.2016 is enclosed herewith. It is submitted that however, the SRC without intimating or issuing the 2nd show cause notice, has withdrawn the recognition granted to our institution. It is submitted that the impugned decision taken by the SRC is completely arbitrary as the SRC did not issue 2nd show cause notice to the petitioner institution, required mandatorily in terms of the SOP issued by the NCTE itself. It is submitted that the SRC had issued the impugned withdrawal order dated 08.03.2021 not in consonance with the instructions issued by NCTE for processing the applications of a running institution. It is submitted that the petitioner institution does not lack infrastructural and instructional facilities required as per the NCTE norms."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution. Appeal Committee after perusal of the regulatory file and submissions made by appellant with its appeal memoranda and oral arguments, noted that the reasons mentioned in the impugned withdrawal order are not fully substantiated.

2. B.Ed. programme is not fully withdrawn vide order no. SRO/NCTE/APSO0026/B.Ed./A.P/2016/89349 dated 25/10/2016. Perusal of the above

P-784

order indicates that out of the two units (100 seats) for B.Ed. programme, only one unit (50) was withdrawn. It was therefore, wrongly concluded that B.Ed. programme was already withdrawn and the institution cannot run M.Ed. programme.

3. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution submitted reply dated 21/12/2019 to the Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 06/12/2019. Appellant with its reply to the Show Cause Notice had submitted two building plans separately for Block 'A' and Block 'B' and the building completion certificate also indicates separate built up area for Block 'A' and Block 'B'.

4. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution with its appeal memoranda has submitted copies of Building Plan, Building Completion Certificate, faculty lists separately for M.Ed., B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. While perusing the building plans submitted by appellant with its appeal memoranda. Appeal Committee noted that area of Multipurpose Hall shown in the map is more than the total built-up area on 4th floor where multipurpose hall is located.

5. Appeal Committee noted that the submission made by appellant that it had not been given a second opportunity by issue of 2nd S.C.N. as per SOP is correct. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter in light of observations made in para 2 to 4 by Appeal Committee.

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments made during online presentation of the appeal, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter in light of observations made in para 2 to 4 by Appeal Committee.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of St. Mary's College of Education, Buchireddypalem, Bombay Road, Buchireddypalem, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

- 1. The Secretary, St. Mary's College of Education, Buchireddypalem, 18/1B, Bombay Road, Buchireddypalem, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh – 524305.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Amaravati.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-134/E-183059/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202113995

Christian College of Education, Ponnutipalem, 440-3A, 440-3B, Madanapalle, Siyalayam Street, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh - 517325 (APPELLANT)	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector - 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075. (RESPONDENT)
--	------------------	---

Representative of Appellant	Sh. BMVS Rama Raju, Secretary,
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUNDS OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Christian College of Education, Ponnutipalem, Madanapalle, Siyalayam Street, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh dated 24/03/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO6304/B.Ed./{A.P.}/2021/124089 dated 08.03.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Committee considered the reply submitted by the institution on 09.07.2020 in respect to the Final Show Cause Notice and found the following deficiencies: - Lease deed for a period of 3 years commencing from 26.08.2006 and the concluding on

[Signature]

25.08.2009. (Rented). The institution has submitted a Building Plan in which Multipurpose hall area is not mentioned. A Letter regarding approval of faculty issued by the affiliating body has not submitted."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Sh. BMVS Rama Raju, Secretary, Christian College of Education, Ponnutipalem, Madanapalle, Siyalayam Street, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "SRC vide its order dated 08.03.2021 has withdrawn recognition observing deficiencies which were already clarified / ratified by our institution. In order to appreciate various contentions and averments being raised hereinafter by the Appellant, it is necessary to state the following few relevant facts in brief. SRC NCTE vide its order dated 12.04.2007 granted recognition to the appellant institution for running B.Ed. course in the appellant institution. Further, a revised recognition order dated 14.05.2015 with intake of 100 students, was issued to the appellant institution. A true copy of recognition order dated 12.04.2007 is enclosed. A true copy of revised recognition order dated 14.05.2015 is enclosed. SRC, NCTE issued a show cause notice dated 24.10.2019 to the appellant institution for submission of approved staff list. A true copy of show cause notice dated 24.10.2019 issued by SRC is enclosed. The appellant institution submitted its reply dated 15.11.2019 to the SRC in response to the aforesaid show cause notice. A true copy of letter dated 15.11.2019 of the appellant institution is enclosed. Thereafter, SRC issued final show cause notice dated 26.02.2020 to appellant institution for submitting the documents as per revised recognition order. A true copy of final show cause notice dated 26.02.2020 issued by SRC is enclosed. The appellant institution vide its letter dated 09.07.2020 submitted the relevant documents to SRC. SRC issued withdrawal order dated 08.03.2021 without looking the documents of the appellant institution. It is submitted that the appellant institution is once again submitting herewith the following documents as were submitted by the appellant to SRC, to satisfy the appeal committee of NCTE: a) Certified copy of land documents owned by the appellant institution b) Building plan wherein the area of multipurpose hall is mentioned c) A letter regarding approval of faculty issued by the affiliating body of the appellant

[Handwritten signature]

institution. SRC without intimating or issuing the 2nd show cause notice, has withdrawn the recognition granted to our institution. It is submitted that the impugned decision taken by the SRC is completely arbitrary as the SRC did not issue 2nd show cause notice to the petitioner institution, required mandatorily in terms of the SOP issued by the NCTE itself. It is submitted that in view of the SOP, SRC ought to have issued another (2nd) show cause notice in light of the show cause notice dated 26.02.2020 before taking the impugned decision of refusal. It is submitted that the petitioner institution does not lack infrastructural and instructional facilities required as per the NCTE norms."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and noted that appellant once applied for shifting of premises from Reddy's Colony, Mananpalle to Sivalayam Street, Madanapalle. Appeal Committee further observed that inspection of the appellant institution could not be completed as the institution was not ready for inspection at the given time.

2. It is therefore, not clear whether the appellant institution is still functioning from the old address which was on lease/rent or has shifted to the address where land and building is stated to have been acquired by the appellant society through outright purchase. Appellant with its appeal memoranda has submitted land and building documents pertaining to property at 440-3 A and 440-3 B, Sivalayam Street, Madanapally, Chittoor, District – A.P. The letter of affiliating University approving list of faculty has not been submitted by appellant.

3. Appeal Committee could not find any supporting evidence to prove that appellant institution was granted permission by SRC to shift its premises. In case appellant institution has shifted its premises without getting inspected and new premises being found fit and approved by SRC, withdrawal of recognition may be justified. SRC needs to verify the facts and afford an opportunity to the appellant institution to submit written representation. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter thoroughly.

K-124

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on records and oral arguments, advanced during the online presentation of appeal, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter thoroughly.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Christian College of Education, Ponnutipalem, Madanapalle, Siyalayam Street, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Secretary, Christian College of Education, Ponnutipalem, 440-3A, 440-3B, Madanapalle, Siyalayam Street, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh – 517325 .
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Amaravati.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-136/E-183319/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202113972

Sri B.V.N. College of Physical Education, Robertsonpet KGF, Bangarpet, Kolar, Karnataka – 563122	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
<u>(APPELLANT)</u>		<u>(RESPONDENT)</u>

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Prasad Reddy, Administrator
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Sri B.V.N. College of Physical Education, Robertsonpet KGF, Bangarpet, Kolar, Karnataka dated 10/03/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS02265/B.P.Ed/KA/2021/124595-4602 dated 08.03.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Building Plan submitted by the institution is not legible. Building Completion Certificate submitted by the institute is not in prescribed format. The institution has submitted Non-Encumbrance Certificate in regional language. Notarized translation not submitted by the institution. The size of Multipurpose Hall is not

[Signature]

clear on Building Plan. The institute did not submit Land Use Certificate issued by the competent authority. Two associate professors as required under NCTE Regulations, 2014 (Appendix-7) have not been appointed by the institution. The institute submitted a letter dt. 24.12.2019 issued by the Registrar, Bengaluru University regarding approval of the 1 Principal and 10 Lecturers but 9 Lecturers are approved with a final condition to clear NET / SLET within one year from the date of approval. No further relaxation or extension in this regard. Three part time sports trainers, 1 (part time) Yoga Trainer and 1 Dietician (part time) have not been appointed. Multipurpose Play field for outdoor sports, 400 Mtr. athletic track, Gymnasium, Hall for indoor games and sports have not been provided as required under Appendix 7 of NCTE Regulations, 2014. Anatomy, Physiology and Health Education Laboratory, Human performance Laboratory, Physiotherapy, Athletic care and rehabilitation laboratory sports psychology laboratory etc as required under Appendix-7 of NCTE Regulations, 2014 have not been provided. Fire Safety certificate has not been submitted. The institution not submitted Details of Total Land & Built-up area for the Recognized Teacher Education Programme."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Sh. Prasad Reddy, Administrator, Sri B.V.N. College of Physical Education, Robertsonpet KGF, Bangarpet, Kolar, Karnataka presented online the case of the appellatant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "We are submitting Building Plan. We are submitting BCC. We are submitting EC Regional Language. We are submitting BP. We are submitting LUC. We are submitting Staff List. We are submitting Sports Trainers Staff. All documents and Photos submitted. Fire Safety Certificate submitted. We are submitting land & built-up area details."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellatant institution online and with its appeal memoranda. Appeal Committee noted that appellatant institution has submitted copy of the documents found wanted in the case. Appeal Committee decided that appellatant institution is required to submit originals /

prsp

notarised copies of the documents to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on records and oral arguments, advanced during the online presentation of appeal, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri B.V.N. College of Physical Education, Robertsonpet KGF, Bangarpet, Kolar, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Secretary, Sri B.V.N. College of Physical Education, Robertsonpet KGF, Bangarpet, Kolar, Karnataka – 563122.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-142/E-184197/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202114009

Karnataka Teacher College, 581/1B (New) 581/2A (Old), Indi Bijapur Road, Indi, Bijapur, Karnataka – 586209	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
<u>(APPELLANT)</u>		<u>(RESPONDENT)</u>

Representative of Appellant	Sh. K.N. Kumajagi, President,
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Karnataka Teacher College, Indi Bijapur Road, Bijapur, Karnataka dated 12/04/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO2247/KA/B.Ed./2021/124604 dated 08.03.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Committee considered the reply submitted by the institution on 04.11.2020 in response to the Final Show Cause Notice. The Committee found the following deficiency:- As per the staff list submitted by the institution: - The institution submitted (a) copy of letter dt. 29.11.2019 issued by the R.C. University regarding approval of 14

21/8/21

faculty. The faculty strength is not sufficient for 2 units of B.Ed. course as required under Appendix 4 of NCTE Regulations, 2014. (b) 8 faculty members, out of 14 are not qualified as do not possesses NET qualification as required under NCTE Regulations, 2014 (amended vide notification dt 09.06.2017) and date of joining is after the referred notification. The institute submitted FDR of Rs 4,34,984/- but the same is matured on 29.11.2020. Another FDR of Rs 4 Lakh only. The institution is failed in maintaining FDRs of Rs 5+7 Lakhs as required under NCTE Regulations, 2014. And Form A issued by the concerned bank has not been submitted. Minutes of 395th Meeting of the SRC held from 25th – 26th February 2021.53. The institution has not submitted a blue print of approved Building. The Committee also noted that the institution has not shifted the building from the temporary accommodation to permanent accommodation.”

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Sh. K.N. Kumajagi, President, Karnataka Teacher College, Indi Bijapur Road, Bijapur, Karnataka presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that “we humbly submit that we were in process of obtaining latest staff approval from the Registrar, Rani Channamma University. As there was only 21 days time given in the Final Show Cause Notice we have sent the earlier approved staff list. The staff approval in the University taken 2-3 months. By the time we obtain the latest staff approval, the SRC has withdrawn recognition of our B.Ed. college. Now we have obtained the latest staff list from the Registrar. We have appointed NET / SET qualified staff members and other with Ph.D. qualification as per the NCTE Regulations 2014. We are submitting herewith the latest staff approval for kind consideration of the Appeal Committee. We humbly submit that our institution is having the FDRs for total amount of Rs.14,98,465/- in the joint account with the Regional Director. The Original two FDRs are submitted to SRC office. We are submitting herewith the Form A and FDR copies for kind consideration of the Appeal Committee. We humbly submit that we have submitted the approved Building Plan two times to SRC. Whereas SRC has stated that the blue print is not submitted. We are submitting herewith the copy of the approved Building Plan for kind consideration. We humbly submit that our institution has submitted the application for shifting of our

[Handwritten signature]

institution to own building in the year 2007 itself. We have made application on 27.09.2007 along with the Demand Draft of Rs.40,000/- and prescribed application for shifting. We are submitting copy of the same for kind consideration. Further, the SRC has sent a letter to submit further documents vide its letter No.13854 dated.05.06.2009. Copy of the letter enclosed. In reply, we have submitted all the documents through our letter dated.03.07.2009. Copy of letter enclosed. In-spite of making the application with Demand Draft of Rs.40,000/- and also submitting all the documents sought for by SRC, it has been made as a deficiency for Withdrawal of Recognition. The SRC would have initiated inspection for Shifting of our college before withdrawal of recognition. Without any fault of our institution, the SRC without considering the submitted documents has decided to withdraw recognition of our B.Ed. College. We humbly submit to the Appeal Committee that we have submitted application for shifting 13 years back along with the fee for Rs.40,000/- and we are ready at any time for inspection. It is not our fault and SRC would have conducted inspection after acceptance of our application and fee. Whereas, we have been punished with withdrawal of recognition without any fault. We humbly request the Appeal Committee to grant recognition to our institution as we have not violated any rules, Regulations of NCTE. We are submitting all the documents required like Certified copy of land documents and English version, Building Plan, Building Completion Certificate, Land Use Certificate, Site Plan, Non-Encumbrance Certificate, website details, approved staff list for kind consideration of the Appeal Committee."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and decided as under:-

The Committee noted that the appellant has submitted the documents found wanting in the withdrawal order, namely, (i) list of faculty, possessing the qualifications as required under the NCTE Regulation, 2014, as amended in June, 2017 and approved by the Registrar, Rani Chennamma University, Belagair; (ii) copies of the FDRs maintained for the requisite amount; (iii) copies of Form 'A' issued by the banks; and (iv) approved blue print of building plan. The Committee also noted that the appellant, in the past, has submitted to the SRC, the documents and prescribed fee of Rs. 1,50,000/-

21/8/21

for considering their proposal about shifting of premises. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserves to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, with originals thereof, wherever necessary, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to the SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, with originals thereof, wherever necessary, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Karnataka Teacher College, Indi Bijapur Road, Bijapur, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The President, Karnataka Teacher College, 581/1B (New) 581/2A (Old), Indi Bijapur Road, Indi, Bijapur, Karnataka – 586209.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-143/E-184212/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021

Appeal No. APPLSRC202013719

Angel College of Education, South Venganalloor, 570, Mudhugudi, Tirunelveli Road, Rajapalayam, Virudh Nagar, Tamil Nadu – 626102 <u>(APPELLANT)</u>	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075. <u>(RESPONDENT)</u>
---	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	Sh. CA A Palanikumar, Chartered Accountant,
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Angel College of Education, South Venganalloor, Mudhugudi, Tirunelveli Road, Rajapalayam, Virudh Nagar, Tamil Nadu dated 14/09/2020 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRC/NCTE/APSO9380/B.Ed./2020/17296 dated 01.09.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Institution has failed in submission of written representation alongwith all required documents in response to Final Show Cause Notice."

K. S. S. S.

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Sh. CA A Palanikumar, Chartered Accountant, Angel College of Education, South Venganalloor, Mudhugudi, Tirunelveli Road, Rajapalayam, Virudh Nagar, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "We are uploading the fulfilled documents pertaining to the compliance received from NCTE, in your letter cited no. SRO/NCTE/APSO9380/B.Ed./TN/2020/115737. We kindly request you to consider our late submission of compliance due to COVID-19 Pandemic Lockdown. Therefore, we request you to kindly consider our compliance report and grant continuation of recognition for our Institution. Certified copy of land documents now submitted and uploaded. Earmarked area for Angel College of Education now submitted and uploaded. Approved Building Plan from the competent authority now submitted and uploaded. Approved BCC in prescribed format now submitted and uploaded. Notarized English translation of NEC now submitted and uploaded. Clear and legible signatures on the copy LUC now submitted and uploaded. Land conversion from competent authority stating that "there is no restriction for constructing and running of educational institutions on these dry lands" now submitted and uploaded. Approved faculty list from Tamilnadu Teachers Education University (TNTEU) now submitted and uploaded. Affidavit from authorized representation of management now submitted and uploaded."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and decided as under:-

The Committee noted that the appellant has submitted the documents found wanting in the final Show Cause Notice dated 05/03/2020. The delay is attributed to Covid – 19 pandemics. However, an affidavit from the authorised representative of the management, stated to have been uploaded, is not found in the documents submitted. The Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal and also the



affidavit, with originals thereof, wherever necessary, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to the SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal and also the affidavit, with originals thereof, wherever necessary, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Angel College of Education, South Venganalloor, Mudhugudi, Tirunelveli Road, Rajapalayam, Virudh Nagar, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Managing Trustee, Angel College of Education, South Venganalloor, 570, Mudhugudi, Tirunelveli Road, Rajapalayam, Virudh Nagar, Tamil Nadu – 626102.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-144/E-184293/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202114003

Adarsha College of Education, Narayanpet, 1-5-30/4, Narayanpet, Adarsha Hills Narayanpet, Mahbubnagar, Telangana – 509210 <u>(APPELLANT)</u>	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075. <u>(RESPONDENT)</u>
--	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	Shri T. Venkatram Reddy, President
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Adarsha College of Education, Narayanpet, Adarsha Hills Narayanpet, Mahbubnagar, Telangana dated 03/04/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS07308/B.Ed./{AP}/2020/124122 dated 08.03.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Committee considered the reply submitted by the institution on 09.07.2020 in respect to the Final Show Cause Notice and found the following deficiencies: - The institution has submitted land Use Certificate, but land area & Survey No. are not mentioned. The institution has submitted photocopy of

E-184293

building plan but the same is not approved by competent authority & multipurpose hall size is not mentioned. The institution has submitted photocopy of BCC but the built up area are different in BCC & building plan. The institution has submitted a faculty list which is not approved by the Affiliating body. Some deficiencies are: - i. A letter regarding approval of faculty issued by the affiliating body has not submitted by the institution. ii. The institution has not appointed performing arts faculty. The institution has not submitted a "Form A" issued by the respective Bank Manger towards creation of FDR of Rs.7 lakh and 5 lakh, totalling Rs.12 lakh towards Endowment Fund & Reserve Fund into joint account for a duration of 5 years along with a copy of the FDRs. The institution has not submitted affidavit regarding land area & built up area."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-


Shri T. Venkatram Reddy, President, Adarsha College of Education, Narayanpet, Adarsha Hills Narayanpet, Mahbubnagar, Telangana presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Sir, as per the orders of the Regional Director, SRC Bangalore issued through no. SRO/NCTE/RD/REGULATIONS/2014/2014-15/60878 dated: 31-12-2014 an affidavit on Rs. 100/- stamp paper has been submitted by the appellant that the consent is given to run (2) units of B.Ed. course (50+50) consisting of 100 students (APSO7308). Accordingly, the NCTE, SRC, Bangalore authorities issued conditional recognition order for running (2) units with effect from 2015-16 batch vide order F.NO.SRC/NCTE/APSO7308/B.ED./AP/2015/65818 dated 29-05-2015 (copy enclosed). The main conditions are as follows. 1. the revalidated FDRs. at enhanced values issued in joint account with the SRC., should be submitted before 30th June 2015. 2. The institution should create additional facilities like (a) additional built up area (b) additional infrastructure (c) additional staff and submit to the SRC before 31-10-2015. 3. for additional unit it is required to submit additional documents such as land documents, etc., Land Use Certificate, Building Plan, BCC, in proforma by 31-10-2015. 4. it was also specified that the Regional Committee will be arranged verification of documents, inspection etc., by 20-02-2016. if it fails to create additional facilities there should not be additional admissions for 2016-17. in compliance to the above instructions/stipulations,

Handwritten signature

1. FDRs: the original FDRs Rs.3 lacks as endowment fund and Rs. 5 lacks as reserve fund which were in the joint account of Secretary of the Society and Regional Director SRC, and sent to Regional Director SRC, vide LR. NO. ADNP/B.ED./2014-15, date 10-06-2015 (copy enclosed) which have been received back from the SRC vide LR.NO.F.SRO/NCTE/APSO7308/BED/AP/FDR/2015/66973 dated: 12-06-2015 (copy enclosed) with remarks "as requested by you after verification of the original FDRs are returned herewith". items 2,3 & 4: with regard to these items, it is to submit that our college namely Adarsha College of Education, Narayanpet was established during 2007-08 after obtaining recognition orders issued vide no. SRC/NCTE/B.ED/2007-08/13062, date:16-10-2007 (copy enclosed) of the Regional Director, SRC, Bangalore for running B.ED. course of 1 year with an intake of 100 candidates located in the long leased building after fulfilling other facilities like infrastructure facilities, instructional facilities, staff etc. in this regard, it is further submitted that the building which was already constructed by the private owner of the building has been occupied on long leased basis (33 years), with regard to land details, building details whatever the owner was in possession have been obtained and submitted to the SRC, NCTE, Bangalore, in this connection the visiting team inspected the entire facility which were available and specifically recommended for establishment of College, for which SRC, issued recognition order. Accordingly, the affiliation orders have also been obtained from the concerned University with effect from 2007-08 to 2015-16 for intake of 100 students and 50 students from 2016-17 to 2020-21 from the concerned University i.e. from Osmania University, Hyderabad and Palamuru University, Mahabubnagar, Telangana. (copies of affiliation orders are enclosed) consequent on issue of new regulations 2014 and with a view to fulfil the condition of possessing own building for B.Ed. college, the long leased building has been purchased on the name of the society through sale deed no. 304/15, dated 02-02-2015 (copy enclosed) which was registered by the sub-registrar, Narayanpet. the details contained in document are as follows. 1) total land area 1618.59 sq. mts 2) total built up area 2518.41sq. mts 3) Survey No. 454 4) House Nos. 1-5-30/4 and 1-5-30/4/1. Further it is to submit that there is also D.El.Ed. college namely Adarsha Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Narayanpet, which was also recognised by SRC, NCTE, Bangalore through order no. SRO/SRC/APP920/D.El.Ed./AP/2015/62281, date:03-03-2015 (copy enclosed)

Signature

to run the batch with one unit of 50 candidates with effect from 2015-16 as per new rules 2014 which located in the other newly constructed building in the same campus. Details are as follows. 1) land area 4146.9 sq. mts. and built up area is 1788.54 sq. mts. thus ours become composite institution as per new Rules. Thus, the total area become 1) land area: 1618.59 sq. mts. + 4146.9 sq. mts. 5765.49 sq. mts. 2) built up area 1788.54 sq. mts. + 2518.41 sq. mts. 4306.95 sq. mts. therefore it is permissible to run B.Ed. and D.El.Ed courses with 1 unit each with effect from 2016-17 onwards. therefore, there in no additional units, for which additional facilities are not required to be submitted. Further the required information has already been submitted vide LR. NO. ADNP.53/B.ED./2015, dated.30-10-2015 (copy enclosed). As required in the revised conditional recognition order date:29-05-2015. The details of information already submitted are shown hereunder for kind information. 1) copies of FDRs already submitted and received back after verification. 2) sale deed copy of B.Ed. college building 3) etc., of B.Ed. college building sale deed 4) Recognition order copy of D.El.Ed. 5) Annexure-1 affidavit copy of Secretary 6) Annexure-2 affidavit of staff 7) Annexure-3 staff profile. The matter so stands, i.e. after admissions for B.Ed. course is completed only (72) candidates took admission and continued during 2015-16 against the intake of (50+50=100) two units of B.Ed. course. However, the college was run with uneconomic strength of (72) candidates during 2015-16 (first year) and 2016-17(second year) till the batch is completed. Thus, in view of uneconomic strength of B.Ed. course the concerned University authority i.e. affiliating authority has been explained the difficulty in running (2) units of 100 candidates and requested to issue orders of affiliation for 1 unit of 50 candidates during 2016-17. Accordingly, the affiliating authority agreed and issued necessary orders for running 1 unit during 2016-17 onwards, copy already submitted above in this connection the detailed report has been submitted to the SRC. Bangalore with a request to issue revised recognition orders for running 1 unit of 50 candidates with effect from 2016-17 onwards till now, and even till today also only one unit of (50) candidates is in existence vide LR.NO.ADNP/NRPT/APSO7308/16, dt: 28-05-2016. The revised recognition orders of 1 unit are awaited from RD, SRC, NCTE, New Delhi. The matter so stands, a show cause notice is received from the Regional Director, SRC, NCTE, New Delhi vide no. APSO7308/B.Ed./100229, date: 05-02-2019 prescribing/fulfilling the following




deficiencies for rectifications as decided in 369th SRC meeting held on 29-01-2019. 1) a copy of staff profiles of qualified teaching staff appointed by institution for session 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 duly approved by affiliating body. 2) Building Completion Certificate (BCC) as per Govt. format issued by Res./govt. Engineer is not submitted. 3) FDRs 5 lacks as endowment fund and Rs. 7 lacks as reserve fund per unit in joint account with RD, SRC, NCTE, as per NCTE, Regulations 2014 is not submitted. 4) originally notarised CLU. (Certificate of Land Use), Non-Encumbrance Certificate, approved Building Plan issued by Engineer of res. or PWD, Engineer, in this connection the required information for the above (4) points have already been submitted with documentary evidence vide LR.NO. ADNP/NRPT/1/2015-19, dated.03-03-2019 (copy enclosed) through speed post. Even after complying the orders of show cause notice, the SRC, NCTE, authorities have not considered our request in issuing revised recognition order of one unit with effect from 2016-17 but again issued final show cause notice F.NO.SRC/NCTE/B.ED/APSO7308/TS/2019/11704 dated. 14-11-2019 (copy enclosed) containing (13) points. in compliance to the final show cause notice a detailed reply item wise has been submitted to the SRC, NCTE, New Delhi. Containing nearly 300 pages bunch of information vide LR. NO. ADNP/B.ED./153/2015-16/2019, dated:27-11-2019 (copy enclosed) through blue dart courier service which was handed over at Delhi on 02-12-2019. Even after submission of reply to show cause notice (4 items) and Final Show Cause Notice (13) items, the kind authorities of SRC, New Delhi have not taken into consideration of the documents submitted by us and decided to withdraw the recognition of B.Ed. course and issued orders vide F.NO.SRO/NCTE/APSO7308/B.ED./AP/2020/124122, dated 08-03-2021 (copy enclosed) mentioning the following (6) items specifically. However, the SRC, NCTE, New Delhi is kind enough to permit us to prefer an appeal as per Rule (18) of 1993 Act. 1) the institution has submitted Land Use Certificate, but land area and survey no. are not mentioned. 2) the institution is submitted photo copy of building plan but the same is not approved by competent authority and multipurpose hall size is not mentioned. 3) the institution has submitted photocopy of BCC, but the built up area are different in BCC, and building plan. 4) the institution has submitted a faculty list which is not approved by the affiliating body. some deficiencies are 1) a letter regarding approval of faculty issued



by the affiliating body has not submitted by the institution. 2) the institution has not appointed performing arts faculty. 5) the institution has not submitted a form "form a" issued by the respective Bank Manager towards creation of FDRs of Rs.7 lacks and 5 lacks, totalling Rs. 12 lacks towards endowment fund and reserve fund into joint account for a duration of 5 years along with a copy of the FDRs. 6) the institution has not submitted affidavit regarding land area and built up area. sir, in this connection the following factual report is submitted herewith for necessary sympathetic consideration. the details of entire case of B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. colleges are given here under. 1) earlier once the recognition of our B.Ed. college was withdrawn by the SRC, through NO. SRC/APSO7308/B.ED./AP/2013- 14/55162 date: 28-11-2013 (copy enclosed) on the following reasons. 1) the institution has not submitted BCC. duly approved by the competent govt. Engineer. 2) BCC, submitted by the institution is in individual name, which is not permissible. 3) the land use certificate submitted by the institution is hand written by the sarpanch, it is not in the prescribed format and not issued by competent authority. in this connection an appeal dated.27-02-2014 was submitted to the NCTE, authorities headquarters New Delhi with relevant information and requested to cancel the withdrawal order of recognition of SRC, Bangalore and as required the case was presented personally by the management authority on 26-05-2014. finally the NCTE, Headquarters New Delhi was kind enough and observed that the reply submitted by us is satisfactory and finally issued orders to keep the withdrawal orders in abeyance vide orders No.F.No.89-83/2014appeal/8th Meeting-2014A90545, dated:02-07-2014 (copy enclosed). The true extract of orders of NCTE, Headquarters New Delhi are reproduced hereunder for kind information. "and whereas, Council noted that the appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. course vide SRC order dated 16-10-2007. The above recognition was granted under class 7 (12) of the NCTE, Regulations 2006 and the appellant institution was allowed to function from a private leased premises at Adarsha Hills, Narayanpet, Mahabubnagar, AP. The recognition order quoted above did not include any clause are condition binding upon the appellant institution to shift to its own premises from a show clause notice dated. 20-06-2013 issued to the appellant institution it appears that application made for D.El.Ed. recognition was rejected by the SRC and B.Ed. case was examined by linking D.El.Ed. file. The withdrawal order dated

Handwritten signature

28-11-2013 which is the impugned order is on three grounds mainly which are reproduced as below. 1) The institution has not submitted Building Completion Certificate duly approved by competent Govt. Engineer. 2) BCC, submitted by the institution is in individual name which is not permissible as per NCTE, Regulations, 2009 3) land use certificate submitted by the institution is hand written by sarpanch and is not in prescribed format and is not issued by the competent authority. Council noted that since recognition for B.Ed. course was granted to the appellant institution in a private leased building under NCTE, Regulation 2006, asking for Building Completion Certificate as per NCTE, Regulations, 2009 is not justified until and unless the institution has either shifted to a new premises or proposes to do so. Similarly asking for a land Use Certificate after 6 years of the grant of recognition has no relevance particularly when recognition was granted on the basis of a V.T. report stating that the institution is functioning from a building taken on lease for 33 years. If the SRC had noted any discrepancy other than requirements pertaining to land, the same could have been verified by causing an independent inspection. Council concluded that inspection under section 13 of the act may be conducted to verify the instructional facilities as per NCTE, Regulations and till such time the inspection report is received and acted upon, the withdrawal order dated. 28-11-2013 may be kept in abeyance." In this connection it is further to submit that a team of two officials namely Prof. E.R. Ekbote, Karnataka and Dr. H.S. Ganesh Bhatta, Bangalore has programmed inspection on 27-10-2015 and every information has been obtained for onward submission pertaining to land, building, building completion, FDRs etc. in view of the above earlier restoration of recognition orders, of our B.Ed., college is functioning smoothly till now without any hurdles. Therefore the objections raised now with regard to land, building, plan, completion of building, permission of competent authority, etc. may kindly be waived of in view of purchase and occupation of leased building by the management having sufficient area of land and building available as per new regulations 2014. with regard to multipurpose hall it is to submit that it is located in D.El.Ed. college having area of 2035 sq. fts. which is permissible to utilize the same by the D.El.Ed. and B.Ed. students as per Rules of 2014 Act, with regard to 4th point i.e. faculty, it is submitted that the teaching staff 1+7 i.e. Principal and Lecturers are in existence in our B.Ed. college which is sufficient to run one unit of 50 candidates. The copies of staff approval issued by



the concerned University/affiliating University are submitted herewith with effect from 2007-08 to 2019-20 (copies enclosed) and with regard to appointment of performing arts faculty, it is to submit that there are existing (8) teaching staff including Principal. Therefore, there is no necessity of performing teacher in arts faculty as per Rules. However, the said subject is being dealt by a lecturer who is acquainted with the subject. kindly accept the same. with regard to point no.5, it is to submit that the necessary FDRs. of Rs.3 lacks as endowment fund and Rs. 5 lacks as reserve fund are being maintained in joint account of the secretary of the society and Regional Director, SRC, without any break with effect from 2007-08 to till today. the latest copies of FDRs are submitted herewith (copies enclosed). The enhanced values of FDRs are since to be not necessary as Rs. 5 lacks and 7 lacks total 12 lacks are seems to be not required as per the orders issued by NCTE, (recognition norms and procedure) regulations 2014-answer to frequently asked question on endowment and reserve fund at item no. 3 for existing teacher education institutions and regularly FDRs are maintained. (copy enclosed), with regard to point no. 6 pertaining to submission of affidavit in respect land area and built up area it is to submit that the land and building are not owned by the management as on the date of inception of the B.Ed. college. Thus, the said document could not be submitted by the management. kindly accept the reply in view of existing building is a leased building and in view of the fact that existing building is a leased building and in view of earlier appeal dated: 27-02-2014 and observation orders of NCTE, dated:27-09- 2015. Thus, the withdrawal of recognition of our B.Ed. college makes us a big loss financially and painful in view of having own building, infrastructure and instructional facilities etc. available. kindly also note that during present academic year 2020-21 only 35 candidates have got admissions in B.Ed. course against the intake of 50 candidates. Prayer: Sir, in view of the above factual position appellant therefore prays that the order of withdrawal of recognition may kindly be set aside and restore the recognition to the appellant as early as possible at least before admissions of 2021-22. i, p. Srinivasulu the appellant named do here by declared that, what is stated herein is true to the best of my information and belief and nothing material has been fabricated/concocted and concealed. I further declared that I had not appealed against the said order earlier."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and decided as under:-

The Committee, noting the detailed explanation submitted by the appellant, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the submissions of the appellant and take necessary action in accordance with the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC the submissions made in appeal, duly supported by relevant documents, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to the SRC with a direction to consider the submissions of the appellant and take necessary action in accordance with the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC the submissions made in appeal, duly supported by relevant documents, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Adarsha College of Education, Narayanpet, Adarsha Hills Narayanpet, Mahbubnagar, Telangana to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Secretary, Adarsha College of Education, Narayanpet, 1-5-30/4, Narayanpet, Adarsha Hills Narayanpet, Mahbubnagar, Telangana – 509210.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Telangana, Hyderabad.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT
File No. 89-145/E-184366/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202114007

Thellar College of Education, 617/2, 617/3, Thellar, Tindivanam Road, Vandavasi, Tiruvannamalai, Tamil Nadu – 604406	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
<u>(APPELLANT)</u>		<u>(RESPONDENT)</u>

Representative of Appellant	Sh. M. Vijayarvarman, Representative
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Thellar College of Education, Thellar, Tindivanam Road, Vandavasi, Tiruvannamalai, Tamil Nadu dated 10/04/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRC/NCTE/APSO4850/B.Ed./TN/2021/125185 dated 16.03.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "It has been noted that the institution has not submitted the reply to the grounds mentioned in Final Show Cause Notice issued on 27.02.2020."

[Signature]

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Sh. M. Vijayavarman, Representative, Thellar College of Education, Thellar, Tindivanam Road, Vandavasi, Tiruvannamalai, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Due to pandemic situation - Covid-19 we were unable to submit on time. Inspite we had replied after the relaxation given by the government of Tamilnadu. We had sent the reply on 09.09.2020 and 23.09.2020 and finally 09.02.2021 regarding the delay for reply and explanations."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and decided as under:-

The Committee noted that the reply of the appellant dt. 21/09/2020 (and not dated 23/09/2020) to the final Show Cause Notice dt. 27/02/2020 is available in the file of the SRC. The appellant also sent another letter dt. 09/02/2021 to the SRC seeking time for submission of documents and stating that the University is taking time for approval of teachers. The appellant has enclosed to the appeal, a letter dt. 22/03/2021 issued by Tamilnadu Teachers Education University, approving the teaching faculty mentioned therein. In view of this position, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the replies of the appellant and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to intimate to the SRC the approval of staff accorded by the University and also forward to them the submissions made in appeal, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

IV. DECISION:-


After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to the SRC with a direction to consider the replies of the appellant and take further action as per the NCTE Regulations, 2014. The appellant is directed to intimate to the SRC the approval of staff accorded by the

17/04/21

University and also forward to them the submissions made in appeal, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Thellar College of Education, Thellar, Tindivanam Road, Vandavasi, Tiruvannamalai, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Chairman, Thellar College of Education, 617/2, 617/3, Thellar, Tindivanam Road, Vandavasi, Tiruvannamalai, Tamil Nadu - 604406.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-146/E-184487/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLWRC202114012

Sorabh College of Teacher Training, 1682, Sawaimadhopur, Gudasi Road, Swaimadhopur, Rajasthan – 322001	<u>Vs</u>	Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
<u>(APPELLANT)</u>		<u>(RESPONDENT)</u>

Representative of Appellant	Shri Kripal Singh Meena, Chairman,
Respondent by	Regional Director, WRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of Sorabh College of Teacher Training, Sawaimadhopur, Gudasi Road, Swaimadhopur, Rajasthan dated 19/04/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. NCTE/WRC/RJ.../332nd/2021/215691 dated 13.04.2021 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Institution is required to submit latest staff list duly approved by the concerned affiliating body clearly indication the seal and signature along with faculty approval letter issued by the concerned affiliating body. Institution has not submitted latest staff profile with original letter. The institution has not submitted the latest

Kripal Singh Meena

staff profile with the approval letter of the affiliating body. In view of the above, the Committee decided to refuse the application of the institution u/s 15 submitted for D.El.Ed. Course."


II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Shri Kripal Singh Meena, Chairman, Sorabh College of Teacher Training, Sawaimadhopur, Gudasi Road, Swaimadhopur, Rajasthan presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institution has submitted latest staff list duly approved by the concerned affiliating body clearly indicating the seal and signature along with faculty approval letter issued by the concerned affiliating body. Institution has submitted latest staff profile with approval letter."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and decided as under:-

The Committee noted that the appellant in their letter dt. 26/02/2021 informed WRC the position relating to approval of staff by the concerned affiliating body. The Committee also noted that the appellant wrote another letter dt. 18/03/2021 explaining the position. However, this letter is not found in the file of the WRC. The Committee further noted that the appellant has given a detailed explanation in their appeal letter dt. 11/06/2021. He has drawn attention to their letter 26/02/2021 in which it is inter-alia mentioned that approval of staff is done only once by affiliating body. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the WRC with a direction to consider the submissions of the appellant as contained in their various letters and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the WRC a copy of their letter dt. 18/03/2021 and copy of their appeal submission dt. 11/06/2021 within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.



IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online hearing. Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to the WRC with a direction to consider the submissions of the appellant as contained in their various letters and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the WRC a copy of their letter dt. 18/03/2021 and copy of their appeal submission dt. 11/06/2021 within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sorabh College of Teacher Training, Sawaimadhopur, Gudasi Road, Swaimadhopur, Rajasthan to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Chairman, Sorabh College of Teacher Training, 1682, Sawaimadhopur, Gudasi Road, Swaimadhopur, Rajasthan – 322001 .
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-147/E-184498/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202113979

Holy Trinity College of Education, 445/7, Edaicode, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari, Tamil Nadu – 629152	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
<u>(APPELLANT)</u>		<u>(RESPONDENT)</u>

Representative of Appellant	Dr. C. Bright, Correspondent
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND'S OF WITHDRAWAL

"The appeal of Holy Trinity College of Education, Edaicode, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari, Tamil Nadu dated 16/03/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO4489/TN/B.Ed./2021/123100-3106 dated 19.01.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not responded to the Final Show Cause Notice issued on 10.08.2020."

[Signature]

II. Submissions made by Appellant:-

Dr. C. Bright, Correspondent, Holy Trinity College of Education, Edaicode, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "We received the Final Show Cause Notice on 10.08.2020 and we responded to the above notice on 17.08.2020 by sending the required documents through the professional courier with the receipt No.350954."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and decided as under:-

The Committee noted that the reply of the appellant dated 17/08/2020 to the final Show Cause Notice dt. 10/08/2020, stated to have been sent through courier, is not available in the file of the SRC. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the reply of the appellant dt. 17/08/2020, to be sent to them again by the appellant, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, their reply dt. 17/08/2020, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online hearing. Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to the SRC with a direction to consider the reply of the appellant dt. 17/08/2020, to be sent to them again by the appellant, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, their reply dt. 17/08/2020, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Holy Trinity College of Education, Edaicode, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Correspondent, Holy Trinity College of Education, 445/7, Edaicode, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari, Tamil Nadu – 629152.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-148/E-184483/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202113978

Holy Trinity College of Education, 445/7, Edaicode, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari, Tamil Nadu – 629152 <u>(APPELLANT)</u>	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075. <u>(RESPONDENT)</u>
--	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	Dr. C. Bright, Correspondent
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Holy Trinity College of Education, Edaicode, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari, Tamil Nadu dated 15/03/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO9774/TN/M.Ed./2021/123038-3064 dated 19.01.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not responded to the Final Show Cause Notice issued on 10.08.2020."

[Handwritten Signature]

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Dr. C. Bright, Correspondent, Holy Trinity College of Education, Edaicode, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "We received the final show cause notice on 10.08.2020. and we responded to the above notice on 17.8.2020 by sending the required documents through the professional courier with the receipt no. 350954."


III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and decided as under:-

The Committee noted that the reply of the appellant dated 17/08/2020 to the final Show Cause Notice dated 10/08/2020, stated to have been sent through courier, is not available in the file of the SRC. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the reply of the appellant dated 17/08/2020, to be sent to them again by the appellant, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, their reply dated 17/08/2020, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.


IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online hearing. Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to the SRC with a direction to consider the reply of the appellant dated 17/08/2020, to be sent to them again by the appellant, and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, their reply dated 17/08/2020, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.



NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Holy Trinity College of Education, Edaicode, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Correspondent, Holy Trinity College of Education, 445/7, Edaicode, Vilavancode, Kanyakumari, Tamil Nadu - 629152.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-149/E-184749/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021

Appeal No. APPLNRC202114014

National College of Education, 83/4, Ses Parisar B-Block Near Durga Mandir, Sirsa, Haryana – 125055	<u>Vs</u>	Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
<u>(APPELLANT)</u>		<u>(RESPONDENT)</u>

Representative of Appellant	Dr. Punam Miglani, Principal
Respondent by	Regional Director, NRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF REFUSAL

The appeal of National College of Education, Ses Parisar B-Block Near Durga Mandir, Sirsa, Haryana dated 24/04/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-12947-M.Ed./331th (Virtual) Meeting/2021/213734 dated 22.03.2021 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has not submitted its reply in compliance of direction of appellate authority within 15 days. The date of appeal order is 30.07.2019."

[Signature]

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Dr. Punam Miglani, Principal and Sh. Laxmi Narain, Technical Assistant cum Head Clerk, National College of Education, Ses Parisar B-Block Near Durga Mandir, Sirsa, Haryana presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The institution had submitted list of approved faculty one Professor and Associate Professor, vide letter no. 8503/NCTE-II dated 12.07.2019 sent through speed post. Secondly, the institution had submitted list of approved faculty one Professor, one Associate Professor and six Assistant Professors, vide letter no. 7651/NCTE dated 24.04.2018. Thus, the college has sent complete list of faculty to NRC, before time, So, it was understood that complete and comprehensive list of faculty was made available to NRC. It is humbly requested that the recognition of M.Ed. course be granted to college for academic session 2021-22. Therefore, in the interest of justice and fair-play it is humbly submitted that a sympathetic view be solicited in this appeal at early date and oblige."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and decided as under:-


The Committee noted that according to the Appellate order dated 30/07/2019, the appellant was directed to submit a comprehensive list of faculty to the NRC within 15 days of issue of the Appellate order. The Committee also noted that the appellant's letter dated 12/07/2019, stated to have been sent by speed post, was addressed to the NCTE and not to the NRC. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the appellant's letter dated 12/07/2019, to be sent to them by the appellant and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the NRC their letter dated 12/07/2019 within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal. The appellant should also affirm that the required faculty for M.Ed. programme has been appointed with the approval of affiliating University.

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online hearing. Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to the NRC with a direction to consider the appellant's letter dated 12/07/2019, to be sent to them by the appellant and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the NRC their letter dated 12/07/2019 within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal. The appellant should also affirm that the required faculty for M.Ed. programme has been appointed with the approval of affiliating University.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of National College of Education, Ses Parisar B-Block Near Durga Mandir, Sirsa, Haryana to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Principal, National College of Education, 83/4, Ses Parisar B-Block Near Near Durga Mandir, Sirsa, Haryana – 125055.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Haryana, Chandigarh.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-152/E-184755/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202114016

Sri Jagadguru Balagangadharanatha Swami College of Physical Education, Chickballapur, Kolar, Karnataka – 562101 <u>(APPELLANT)</u>	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075. <u>(RESPONDENT)</u>
--	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	Dr. C.K. Subbaraya, Registrar
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND'S OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Sri Jagadguru Balagangadharanatha Swami College of Physical Education, Chickballapur, Kolar, Karnataka dated 23/04/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRO / NCTE / APSO1779 / TN /B.P.Ed. /2021 /2021/123129 dated 19.01.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.P.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not responded to the Final Show Cause Notice issued on 16.10.2020."

[Handwritten Signature]


II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Dr. C.K. Subbaraya, Registrar, Sri Jagadguru Balagangadharanatha Swami College of Physical Education, Chickballapur, Kolar, Karnataka presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "The institution had duly submitted the reply to the first Show Cause Notice as well as final Show Cause Notice. It is respectfully submitted that the institution had duly replied to the Show Cause Notice dt. 16.05.19, through online mode by submitting all the requisite documents mentioned in the same. If directed the institution is ready and willing to submit the same again. It is humbly submitted that the institution had duly sent the reply alongwith all the documents through speed post on 04.11.20 to the final Show Cause Notice dated 16.10.20. The speed-post tracking report duly mentions that the reply was delivered on 09.11.20, in the office SRC. Copy of the speed-post receipt as well as tracking report is placed on record. The institution had submitted all the documents by its reply. The institution is once again placing on record all the latest documents in compliance of final Show Cause Notice and NCTE Regulations for perusal and kind consideration please. It is submitted that the institution has always replied to all the letters received by them. The institution was given revised recognition order on 20.05.2015 and duly complied with the requirements as mentioned therein."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and decided as under:-

The Committee, noting the submissions of the appellant and the documents submitted in support of their claim, including the speed post track report, indicating the delivery of their communication on 09/11/2020, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider their reply dt. 04/11/2020 to the final Show Cause Notice dated 16/10/2020, to be sent again to them by the appellant and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forwarded to the SRC, their reply dated 04/11/2020 with all documents within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.



IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online hearing. Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to the SRC with a direction to consider their reply dt. 04/11/2020 to the final Show Cause Notice dated 16/10/2020, to be sent again to them by the appellant and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, their reply dated 04/11/2020 with all documents within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Jagadguru Balagangadharanatha Swami College of Physical Education, Chickballapur, Kolar, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Registrar, Sri Jagadguru Balagangadharanatha Swami College of Physical Education, Chickballapur, Kolar, Karnataka – 562101.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-153/E-184809/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202114013

Sri Nandhishwara Gramantara B.Ed. College, Vasana Post Nandigudi, Harihar, Davangere, Karnataka – 577516	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
<u>(APPELLANT)</u>		<u>(RESPONDENT)</u>

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Ninganagowda K.G., Administrator
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND'S OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Sri Nandhishwara Gramantara B.Ed. College, Vasana Post Nandigudi, Harihar, Davangere, Karnataka dated 22/04/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO1965/KA/B.Ed./2021/124579 dated 06.03.2021 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has submitted photocopy of Building Plan and Building Completion Certificate wherein the Building Plan is proposed at Survey No. 79 and the Building Completion Certificate issued on Survey NO. 52. There is a clear contradiction in both documents at Survey No. The institution has

Key 4/1/2021

submitted Building Plan which is not approved by the competent authority. Also, the total built up area is not adequate to run 1 unit of B.Ed course as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The size of the multipurpose hall is not mentioned. The institution has not submitted site Plan duly approved by the competent authority. The Building Completion Certificate submitted by the institution wherein the land is shown on "Lease". The Building Completion Certificate submitted by the institution wherein the total built up area is not adequate to run 1 unit of B.Ed. course as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The size of the multipurpose hall is not mentioned. The institution has not submitted latest staff list in prescribed format duly approved by the Registrar of concerned affiliating university."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Sh. Ninganagowda K.G., Administrator, Sri Nandhishwara Gramantara B.Ed. College, Vasana Post Nandigudi, Harihar, Davangere, Karnataka presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Our institution is having total land area of 8 Acres 19 Guntas in the name of our Trust i.e., Sri Nandeeshwara Vidya Samsthe. 8.19 Acres of land is a composite land and single plot with different Survey numbers. The Land Use Certificate issued by the Tahsildar, Harahira Taluk clearly signifies the land holdings of each survey number. Following are the Survey numbers with land holdings. Sy.No.52/2 – 15 Guntas, Sy.No.52/3 – 1 Acre, Sy.No.79 – 5 Acres, Sy.No. 40 – 04 Guntas and Sy.No.41/1 – 2 Acres. (40 Guntas 1 Acre) These are adjacent survey numbers making total composite area of 8.19 Acres. In the deficiency pointed out in the Withdrawal order both Sy.No.79 and 52 are mentioned wherein both are in a composite single post. As such there is no contradiction in our land documents. Without going through all the land documents, the SRC has construed it as different plots and wrongly made it as a deficiency for withdrawal of recognition. We are submitting herewith the Certified Copies of land documents, English version with Notary attestation and Land Use Certificate issued by Tahsildar as proof for kind consideration of the Appeal Committee. We humbly submit that our institution is having two buildings adjacent to each other as A-Block and B-Block. Whereas SRC has considered only one building i.e., A- Block Building Plan and deficiency has been raised. Whereas our institution is having total built up area of


4.1964

20416.80 sq. ft. (1896.72 sq. mts). As per NCTE Regulations for one unit of B.Ed. the requirement of built up area is 1500 sq. mtrs whereas our institution is having 1896.72 sq. mtrs which is more than requirement. SRC has erred by considering only one Building Plan of A-Block and made it as deficiency for withdrawal of recognition. We are submitting herewith a Composite Building Plan of both the building duly approved by the Gram Panchayat for kind consideration of the Appeal Committee. Site Plan was part of the Building Plan submitted to SRC while replying to Show Cause Notice. Whereas SRC has not taken in to consideration the Site Plan clearly shown in the Building Plan itself which was also approved along with Building Plan. SRC has erred in making this deficiency as Site Plan was there in the Building Plan. Now we are submitting herewith a separate Site Plan approved by the Panchayat for kind consideration of the Appeal Committee. We humbly submit that our institution is having own land from the year 1972 itself. Hence the Lease of land does not arise. Due to typographical error it has been mentioned as Lease. Our institution from the inception has been running in own land and building. We are submitting herewith the Building Completion Certificate in the latest format duly issued by the Assistant Executive Engineer, Panchayat Raj Engineering Sub-Division, Harihara after conducting inspection of our building. We humbly request the Appeal Committee to consider. We humbly submit that we have submitted the approved staff list wherein the University has issued a letter of approval. Now we have obtained one more staff list approved by the Registrar, Davanagere University on 19.03.2021. We are submitting herewith the latest approved staff list for kind consideration of the Appeal Committee."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and decided as under:-

The Committee noted that the appellant has submitted the documents found wanting in the withdrawal order, with explanation, wherever necessary. In these circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the documents/explanations submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, the documents submitted in



appeal, with originals thereof, wherever necessary, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online hearing. Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to the SRC with a direction to consider the documents/explanations submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC, the documents submitted in appeal, with originals thereof, wherever necessary, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Nandhishwara Gramantara B.Ed. College, Vasana Post Nandigudi, Harihar, Davangere, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.


(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Principal, Sri Nandhishwara Gramantara B.Ed. College, Vasana Post Nandigudi, Harihar, Davangere, Karnataka – 577516.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.



IN THE NCTE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION (NCTE)
 G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 07/07/2021

APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 18 OF NCTE ACT

File No. 89-155/E-184853/2021 Appeal/16th Meeting, 2021
Appeal No. APPLSRC202113918

Raju College of Education (D.El.Ed.), Atreyapuram, 135/7, 135/8, Atreyapuram, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh - 533235 <u>(APPELLANT)</u>	<u>Vs</u>	Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector - 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075. <u>(RESPONDENT)</u>
---	------------------	--

Representative of Appellant	Sh. Syed Rafiq Ahamed, Chairman
Respondent by	Regional Director, SRC
Date of Hearing	12/06/2021
Date of Pronouncement	07/07/2021

ORDER

I. GROUND OF WITHDRAWAL

The appeal of Raju College of Education (D.El.Ed.), Atreyapuram, Atreyapuram, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh dated 05/02/2021 filed under Section 18 of NCTE Act, 1993 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP2687/D.El.Ed./AP/2020/121770-1776 dated 23.12.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for D.El.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The Committee noted that the institution has failed to submit reply / written representation in respect to the Show Cause Notice dt. 29.09.2020 issued to the institution and also in view of the letter received No. RC. No. 359/A/SCERT/2019 dated 29.06.2020 is received from the Commissioner,

Handwritten signature/initials

School Education (FAC), School Education Department, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh on 17.07.2020."

II. SUBMISSIONS MADE BY APPELLANT:-

Sh. Syed Rafiq Ahamed, Chairman, Raju College of Education (D.El.Ed.), Atreyapuram, Atreyapuram, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh presented online the case of the appellant institution on 12/06/2021. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Our college correspondent affected by Corona Virus joined in Hospital due to this reason we are unable to send 1st Show Cause Notice reply within time."

III. OUTCOME OF THE CASE

Appeal Committee perused the relevant records and the documents submitted by appellant institution and decided as under:-

The Committee did not find a copy of the letter no. RC. No. 359/A/SCERT/2019 dated 29/06/2019 dated 29/06/2020 received from the Commissioner, School Education (FAC), School Education Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh in the file. The Committee also noted that the SRC, in their Show Cause Notice dated 29/09/2020, which has been issued to as many as 126 institution, including the appellant, besides calling for explanation about the observations of the Government of Andhra Pradesh in their letter dt. 29/06/2020, asked for a variety of information's and specified documents. The appellant in the appeal, stating that due to Covid – 19 Pandemic situation and their correspondent contacting corona virus, could not send reply to the Show Cause Notice in time, in their appeal, submitted their response to the State Governments Communication as well as a host of documents called for.

2. In the above circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the appellant's submissions and documents to be sent to them by the appellant and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all his submissions/documents within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.


[Handwritten signature]

IV. DECISION:-

After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online hearing. Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to the SRC with a direction to consider the appellant's submissions and documents to be sent to them by the appellant and take necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all his submissions/documents within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Raju College of Education (D.El.Ed.), Atreyapuram, Atreyapuram, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Copy to: -

1. The Secretary, Raju College of Education (D.El.Ed.), Atreyapuram, 135/7, 135/8, Atreyapuram, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh – 533235 .
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Amaravati.